GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ZONING COMMISSION

+ + + + +

PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

-----:

IN THE MATTER OF:

:

Square 769 LLC

: Case No.

: 03-12C/03-13C

:

----:

Thursday, December 7, 2006

Hearing Room 220 South 441 4th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

The Public Hearing of Case No. 03-12C/03-13C by the District of Columbia Zoning Commission convened at 6:30 p.m. in the Office of Zoning Hearing Room at 441 $4^{\rm th}$ Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20001, Carol J. Mitten, Chairperson, presiding.

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:

CAROL J. MITTEN Chairperson
ANTHONY J. HOOD Vice-Chairperson
GREGORY JEFFRIES Commissioner
JOHN PARSONS Commissioner (NPS)
MICHAEL G. TURNBULL Commissioner (AOC)

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

SHARON S. SCHELLIN Secretary

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

JOEL LAWSON STEVE COCHRAN

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Public Hearing held on December 8, 2006.

AGENDA ITEM PAGE	<u>E</u>
	4 6
<pre>PRELIMINARY MATTERS: Sharon Schellin (None)</pre>	7
<pre>PRESENTATION OF APPLICANT'S CASE: Whayne Quinn</pre>	7
OFFICE OF PLANNING'S REPORT: Steve Cochran	1
<u>APPLICANT'S CLOSING STATEMENTS</u> : Whayne Quinn	4
ADJOURN: Carol Mitton	0

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 6:45 p.m. Good evening 3 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: 4 ladies and gentlemen. This is a public Zoning Commission of 5 hearing of the 6 District of Columbia for Thursday, December 7, 7 2006. 8 Carol Μy name is Mitten, and 9 joining me this evening are Vice-Chairman Anthony Hood, and Commissioners Mike Turnbull, 10 11 John Parsons, and Greg Jeffries. 12 The subject of this evening's 13 hearing is Zoning Commission Case No. 03-14 12C/03-12C, and this is a request by Square 15 769 LLC and the DCHA for approval of phase two 16 of a planned unit development for property 17 located in the block bounded by 2nd, 3rd, L, 18 and M Streets S.E. And it's known as lots 18, 19 20, and 21, in Square 449.

Notice of today's hearing was published in *The D.C. Register* on September 22, 2006, and copies of that hearing announcement are available to you. And

20

21

22

23

they're in the wall bin by the door.

This hearing will be conducted in accordance with the provisions of 11 DCMR Section 3022, and the order of procedure will be as follows. We'll take up any preliminary matters. Then we'll have the presentation of the Applicant's case, a report by the Office of Planning, reports of any other Government agencies, a report by the affected Advisory Neighborhood Commission (in this case it's 6D), organizations and persons in support, and organizations and persons in opposition.

All persons appearing before the Commission are to fill out two witness cards and the cards look like this. Upon coming forward to speak to the Commission, we ask that you give both cards to the reporter who's sitting to our right.

Please be advised that the proceeding's being recorded by the court reporter and is also being webcast live. Therefore, we ask you to refrain from making any disruptive noises in the hearing room.

When presenting information to the Commission, please turn on and speak into the microphone, first stating your name and home address. When you're finished speaking, please turn the microphone off because they tend to pick up background noise.

The decision of the Commission in this case must be based exclusively on the public record. And to avoid any appearance to the contrary, the Commission requests that person present not engage the members of the Commission in conversation during a recess or at any other time. And Ms. Schellin will be available throughout the hearing to answer any procedural questions that you might have.

I'd ask you to turn off all beepers and cell phones at this time, so as not to disrupt the hearing. And I'd ask that anyone who's planning on testifying this evening, if you would stand and raise your right hand and direct your attention to Ms. Schellin, and she will administer the oath.

(Whereupon, the witnesses were

1	sworn in by Ms. Schellin.)
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Did we have
3	any preliminary matters, Ms. Schellin?
4	MS. SCHELLIN: No.
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Mr.
6	Quinn, any preliminary matters?
7	MR. QUINN: No.
8	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Very
9	good, then. Why don't you go ahead and begin?
10	If you would turn on your microphone, that
11	would be very helpful.
12	MR. QUINN: Thank you. My name
13	is Whayne Quinn with Holland & Knight,
14	representing Square 769 LLC. As you're aware
15	from our pleadings, including our pre-hearing
16	statement and also the Office of Planning
17	report, this is a second stage PUD to
18	implement development of Square part of
19	Square 769, as approved by the by the
20	Commission in the stage one approval of the
21	Capper/Carolisburg Hope Six project in the
22	PUD.
23	This application, as is indicated

in the record, complies in all respects with the criteria approved in the preliminary application and we would like, at this point, simply to complete the record by filing exhibits that have been requested by Office of Planning. And I think they've been handed out to you.

Just to describe them briefly, they're copies of rendered landscape plans, fully coordinated and approved by the Department of Transportation, DDOT, and AWC, the Anacostia Waterfront Corporation. And there are two letters that show -- that go with that, that indicate the approvals of the landscape plan; one from DDOT and one from AWC.

Secondly, we filed also as requested by the Office of Planning a detailed illustrative roof plan showing the area of proposed mechanical equipment. And I think Mr. Sher, did we file your outline of your testimony, which would be the urban planner's testimony and it goes into detail about some

1	of the compliance tests of the PUD.
2	This final stage PUD is essential
3	to the overall Capper/Carolisburg plan and the
4	project and we're very pleased that we're
5	having being here to to implement that.
6	And we're prepared to submit this case on the
7	record, at this point, and to answer any
8	questions, should there be any.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you. I
10	think there are a few. Questions from the
11	Commission? Let me just ask
12	MR. QUINN: What do you want us
13	to who who is available? Should I do
14	that
15	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: No. That's
16	fine. I'm sure you'll orchestrate it just
17	fine when the time comes.
18	MR. QUINN: for the questions
19	today? Okay.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: What's the
21	nature of the ANC's opposition?
22	MR. QUINN: The ANC essentially
23	repeated its prior opposition to the PUD. I

1	don't know of any other additional points.
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Okay.
3	Anybody have questions?
4	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I'll go.
5	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Mr. Jeffries?
6	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Actually,
7	perhaps the architect should come to the
8	microphone. Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: If you'd just
10	identify yourself for the record.
11	MR. HICKOK: Yes. I'm Mike Hickok
12	of Hickok Cole Architects.
13	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Thank you,
14	Mr. Hickok. There's a couple of questions I
15	have. One is, and perhaps I missed it, but I
16	was trying to get a better delineation of the
17	ground floor, right at the Canal Park. I
18	mean, I see the trees in the rendering, but is
19	there another drawing that gives greater
20	detail, like a rendering of some sort, that I
21	got a clearer sense of exactly what's
22	happening at the pedestrian level there?
23	I see it's sort of cantilevered.

1	There's a cantilevered portion, but I'm not,
2	unless I missed something
3	MR. HICKOK: Are you talking about
4	at grade?
5	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Excuse me?
6	MR. HICKOK: At the sidewalk
7	level?
8	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes.
9	MR. HICKOK: Are you looking at
10	L1.2a, the landscape plan?
11	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Let's see
12	this. Yes. But more of a perspective a
13	rendering. Just to show exactly what
14	MR. HICKOK: Well, the other
15	the other rendering that's available is that
16	one that's in the on the cover of the
17	packet.
18	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. So
19	MR. HICKOK: I can describe it to
20	you, if you'd like.
21	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. I
22	probably would like to see exactly what the
23	treatment is at the ground level, without the

trees there. Just -- or, if you could somehow -- it would just be a rendering of some sort.

Sure.

MR. HICKOK:

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: That would be very helpful. Because obviously it's a very critical part, I think, to this building. Secondly, I'm a little concerned about the corner treatment of this building. And particularly, again, at the ground floor. Ιt seems just to just fall, the glass, right to the sidewalk. And somehow it just looks a little undressed. And I'm just trying to get a better understanding as to, you know, your motivation here.

MR. HICKOK: Well, from our perspective, that's the -- let's call it the 100 percent corner. That's the corner which is most exposed to the park. The retailer who will occupy -- who would likely -- who will likely occupy that space has the greatest exposure. We're also -- this building has been designed in coordination with the Federal Gateway Building on the other side of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	park, which is another project that we
2	designed. So
3	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Is that
4	the building where AWC is currently housed?
5	MR. HICKOK: I don't know. Is it?
6	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Oh, it is.
7	Okay. Thank you.
8	MR. HICKOK: So, as we look at
9	that corner, it's it's primarily that.
10	It's a curtain wall building which comes down
11	to storefront at that point. And the
12	storefront's articulated at two two floors,
13	because we want a scale there which is
14	appropriate to sort of the scale of the park.
15	But it's it's left open in the manner it is
16	in order to give it the best sort of retail
17	frontage it can.
18	I think that, if you look at
19	you have you have this rendering, also.
20	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: What page
21	is that?
22	MR. HICKOK: This is A2.01b. Now
23	that will show it in comparison to the Federal

Gateway Building on the other side of the park. And there are certain similarities. It's not identical, by any means. But there's certain similarities between these -- between these two that bookend and sort of form the entry to the park off of M Street.

COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes. I would say that the mirror building does have a bit more articulation at the corner than what's being presented here, I mean, from what I see here. And I still think you could still give the level of visibility to a retail tenant that's at the corner, while still just giving some greater articulation at the corner.

And I guess the other issue is that you have this vertical element that's to the right, and I see, you know, what you're doing again in terms of mimicking what's across the park. But it just seems to draw attention to the fact that the corner of the building is just somewhat understated.

So, my only comment here for this

1	is I really want to get a better understanding
2	of the entire elevation at the ground floor;
3	what that treatment is looking like and how it
4	really relates to the or what the feel is
5	like for Canal Park, beyond just a floor plan
6	or site plan, but a drawing that illustrates
7	exactly sort of what the experience would be
8	if, you know, I'm a pedestrian walking
9	through.
10	MR. HICKOK: I think the best
11	drawing for you to look at then would probably
12	be A2.04. That's the Canal Park elevation.
13	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes. I
14	guess I'm looking for perspective.
15	MR. HICKOK: Well, we have within
16	the packet. And we we have what has been
17	submitted in the packet. We don't have other
18	drawings to show you tonight.
19	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: And I
20	guess what I'm saying is that
21	MR. HICKOK: But we can follow up.
22	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: is as
23	follow-up.

1	MR. HICKOK: Oh. Sure. Of
2	course.
3	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes. Yes.
4	Yes.
5	MR. HICKOK: Happy to do that.
6	Happy to do that.
7	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. And
8	one more thing. Also, the horizontality of
9	your curtain wall, what was the driver behind
10	just the long horizontal lines there?
11	MR. HICKOK: Well, the curtain
12	wall is we're we want it to read from
13	corner to corner as a as a box, around
14	which the massing of the building has been
15	has been developed. So the more solid parts
16	are outside. The curtain wall forms a box
17	behind that.
18	Again, if you look at A2.04, it's
19	a good example. And in order for that to read
20	more clearly at the upper floors, we want to
21	see that line as continuous as we can make it.
22	So it was more horizontal than vertical. It's
23	still a grid. I don't think it's overly

1	I don't think it's overly horizontal.
2	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes. I
3	think what happens is that, if you look at it
4	from some of your elevations like A2.04, it
5	doesn't look as pronounces as your perspective
6	does, and that's probably what's throwing me
7	off.
8	MR. HICKOK: Yes. Yes. Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Again, I
10	might have brought this up on a prior office
11	PUD, but I'm always struggling around these
12	office PUDs on what superior design is and
13	what is the delta between what's a matter of
14	right office design and a PUD. I mean, I
15	don't know what this building would look like
16	if it were not coming before this body.
17	And my suspicion is beyond a green
18	roof, I think this building would probably
19	look somewhat like it's looking. That's an
20	observation. But, at this point, I just would
21	again like for you to just revisit this ground

floor and just take another look at the corner

condition at the pedestrian level.

1	MR. HICKOK: Sure. We're happy to
2	do that.
3	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you,
5	Mr. Jeffries. Anyone else? Mr. Parsons?
6	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Just so
7	people don't feel Mr. Jeffries is hanging out
8	here alone, I would concur with his remarks.
9	Okay? We do need a restudy of this edge
10	the corner.
11	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Thank you,
12	Mr. Parsons. Anyone else? Mr. Hood?
13	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Yes, Madam
14	Chair. I'm looking at this plan. I guess
15	maybe the architect, Mr. Hickok or whomever
16	okay, Mr. Hickok I'm looking at A2.01a, in
17	which we received another submittal on that
18	page tonight. And I think you all talked
19	about the curtain wall. But the little hang-
20	over I'm not sure what the proper name is
21	or the formal name is but what is the
22	purpose of that?
23	MR. HICKOK: Which overhang?

1	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: The one at
2	the top. If you look on the roof
3	MR. HICKOK: At at the at
4	the roof line?
5	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Right. At
6	the roof line. If you look at the roof,
7	you've got a little hang over
8	MR. HICKOK: Right.
9	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: where,
10	when it rains, I can stand up under there. At
11	least that's the way it looks.
12	MR. HICKOK: You can't you
13	you could stand up under it. There is roof
14	terrace on that side. This this is the
15	side that faces the park.
16	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
17	MR. HICKOK: And, as you look up
18	from the part, we want to be able to provide
19	some cover; some sense of enclosure for people
20	who are using the roof terrace. At the same
21	time, when you see it from the park side, we
22	didn't want it to be too predominant.
23	We made it thin. It's a thinner

line when viewed from the park. It has perforations so it's not quite as solid. It's more trellis like. And it was for -- it was an effort to make the roof terrace itself a little more friendly, but also to provide something that breaks that roof line a little bit when you view it from the park side.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And

VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. And what is it? Is it a rail or something going around the side there? What's keeping me from --

MR. HICKOK: Yes. That's a -there -- there's a -- you can see that -- you
can see that drawn there, as well. There's a
standard 42 inch code required rail.

VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Oh. Okay. All right. Thank you. The other thing is I'm looking at A2.03, and I know this is probably just a color copy issue. And it appears that in the other submittal, the garage doors or the entrance doors were like a -- from what we have here, they're like a purple. Is that just a printing issue?

1	MR. HICKOK: I think that's a
2	yes. I think that's a color copy issue.
3	We're not proposing purple doors.
4	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Color copy
5	issue. Okay. Okay. Because that I was
6	about to say okay. All right.
7	And the entrance? Where is the
8	garage entrance? It's on 2nd Street, right?
9	MR. HICKOK: The garage entrance
10	is off of the service alley, I believe; the
11	service drive at the rear.
12	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It's in
13	the back?
14	MR. HICKOK: If you look at that
15	same A2.03.
16	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: A2.03?
17	MR. HICKOK: There's a key plan at
18	the bottom right. That indicates which
19	elevation that is and that's that service
20	alley elevation.
21	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Which page
22	are we looking at? Okay. Okay.
23	MR. HICKOK: And here's the key

1 And it's that -- this is the alley, the 2 service drive elevation. 3 VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. 4 Thank you. Mr. Quinn, on the -- I noticed in the submittal that, from the Department of 5 6 Employment Services, Ms. Gilbert, I believe is 7 her name, on the sheet that had -- you already had a name on there. And it looks like that 8 9 was the Applicant. Even though there's Social Security number on there, but then it had N/A. 10 11 Can you tell me why that's written down like 12 that? And I'm sure it's a very minor issue. 13 I'm just curious. I don't know 14 MR. QUINN: No. 15 I'd have to find out. This was in right now. 16 the --17 VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: It was in 18 The agreement looked like it the agreement. 19 was signed. But there was a name, FENELL, I 20 think it was. And it had N/A. Let me see if 21 I can find it. And I was just curious because 22 I know that was being proffered and I was

wondering --

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: This is in
2	the employment plan?
3	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Right.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Which is page
5	7 of attachment 5 to your November 17th
6	submission.
7	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: They
8	obviously are sending us some telling
9	somebody something.
10	THE WITNESS: Oh, that's I
11	believe that would be part of what is filled
12	out as we proceed with the implementation of
13	the plan. In other words, you have to have
14	you submit a whole study, basically, of
15	information. And that goes in before
16	everything is completed. And that's one of
17	the requirements.
18	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: So that's
19	still being proffered. That's still
20	MR. QUINN: It's still being
21	processed as part of the terms of the
22	agreement.
23	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.

1	MR. QUINN: In other words, when
2	it says N/A, that really I'm not sure it
3	should say N/A. It should say to be to be
4	filled out and to be filed with it as part of
5	the deal.
6	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: And let me
7	ask you, Mr. Quinn, for the record, is this
8	Applicant just proffering this or are they
9	really going to try to do this? Do they have
10	a good track record?
11	MR. QUINN: Why don't I call Mr.
12	Fenell to ask him.
13	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Well, I
14	was trying to save us some time. But you can
15	call him.
16	MR. QUINN: Well, I'll say the
17	answer's yes.
18	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. I
19	want to hear from him now. You're right. Let
20	me hear from him.
21	MR. FENELL: Brad Fenell with
22	William C. Smith and Company, on behalf of the
23	Applicant's for 769 LLC. And the answer is

1	absolutely yes.
2	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay.
3	Have you done PUDs and forgive me for not
4	knowing but have you done PUDs in this city
5	before?
6	MR. FENELL: We have done a 210-
7	unit town home community in Far Southeast
8	through a PUD.
9	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Did you
10	offer
11	MR. FENELL: We did.
12	MR. GRANT: You did?
13	MR. FENELL: We did.
14	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: What was
15	your rate? Or what was your success with
16	that?
17	MR. FENELL: It was back in `99,
18	so I'm I I don't know that I could
19	quote the success rate. I know that we're
20	very active in the city. We have five jobs
21	that are being under construction,
22	currently. All of them have LSTB requirement,
23	where we are at or over 35 percent. We've

1	worked with minority contractors from within
2	the Ward 8 community, and we have a strong
3	relationship with those contractors.
4	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: Okay. All
5	right. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Quinn.
6	Thank you, Madam Chair.
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Just before
8	you go away, I just want to ask an explicit
9	question, since Mr. Hood didn't ask it
10	explicitly. But, in the area on the
11	employment plan where it says N/A, that's the
12	area that's new job creation projections. Do
13	you project that you will create any new jobs
14	through this project?
15	MR. FENELL: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So
17	then why does it say N/A?
18	MR. FENELL: I I I can't
19	answer why it says N/A. I think it should be
20	it's not applicable at this time. Or
21	unanswerable at this time. And I think that,
22	when the form was filled out, we just didn't
23	have the information.

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: It's actually
2	kind of interesting, Mr. Hood, that you asked
3	it. Because at what point will this be
4	revisited, if this is being submitted you
5	know, this is being proffered and submitted as
6	it is, when will it be revisited? That's the
7	question for me.
8	VICE-CHAIRPERSON HOOD: I was
9	thinking, at least for me, it would be before
10	final action. I would that would resurface
11	and it'd have to be more definite. Because
12	that N/A bothers me.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Is that
14	something that, before final actions, that
15	that can be articulated? Or, I mean, really
16	
17	MR. QUINN: Really, I think,
18	legally if you look at the in order to meet
19	the terms of it, you have to fill out you
20	have to provide that information. But if
21	you'd like for us to try to file that before
22	the final action, we could do that.
23	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Would you

show me where it says that you would have to file that before -- or in order to be in compliance?

MR. FENELL: In order to meet the requirements, like the general terms, employer shall require all contractors and subcontractors, each one a recruitment. Tn order for there to be compliance, there has to be information to assure compliance. And that information has to be filed as part determining compliance.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I guess what the document, as it's been submitted, if the -- the First Source Agreement has to do with new hires. So, if the document says N/A, not applicable, that's suggests to me, if I'm just looking at the document as it sits there, that I won't have any new hires. It doesn't say I'll come back later and tell you about that so that you can follow up with me to make sure I'm in compliance. So that's the part that is a little confusing.

MR. FENELL: Right. So why don't

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	we try to get something for the record that
2	and it basically, you it's impossible
3	early on, without knowing how the construction
4	is going to proceed, to accurately predict
5	that. You can't
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And I can
7	understand that point.
8	MR. FENELL: you can't file
9	that information.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: But there
11	just doesn't seem to be a
12	MR. FENELL: The N/A bothers you,
13	right?
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes, it does.
15	MR. FENELL: Okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Since Mr.
17	Hood asked about it, it does bother me.
18	MR. FENELL: All right. We'll
19	we'll take care of that.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: It seems to
21	send a different message than is true. It's
22	not
23	MR. FENELL: Yes. I understand.

1	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You
2	understand my point?
3	MR. FENELL: Yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Did
5	you have anything else, Mr. Hood? Okay. Did
6	you have anything, Mr. Turnbull?
7	COMMISSIONER TURNBULL: Yes.
8	Thank you, Madam Chair. I guess I was just
9	in going back to the comments of Commissioner
10	Parsons and Commissioner Jeffries. And I
11	think one of the things they're struggling
12	with is, if you look at the sheet the title
13	sheet of your plate of submission, which has
14	a 16 on the corner, where it sort of shows the
15	corner of the building in perspective.
16	And I think and I guess what
17	may be this tentative or what makes people
18	let me divert just a little bit. But I think
19	one of the most difficult uses to design well
20	is a pharmacy. I mean, a drugstore to me
21	always seems like it's an awkward building
22	because you need it. It's a wonderful
00	

profession. But the stores always seem funny

to go into. You just never feel comfortable.

I've never felt comfortable going into a pharmacy.

And there is one pharmacy now that has these wonderful bi-folding doors that open up electronically that feel like they're going to eat you as you go into it. But they always have windows and you could never use windows because they don't want windows because of retail. So you have the high windows. And if they do try to take a building that has windows and make it into something, it just never looks right.

And I have a fear of a pharmacy going in here first off, even though it says restaurant. And I feel this very tentative view of this corner.

And, if I look across in your other A2.01b, the verticals accentuate that corner a bit more so that the very light verticals on this one. And I think right now if I look at it, it looks like an empty storefront in the perspective. And I think

that there's this feeling that it hasn't been quite solved; that there is this tenuous aspect of the corner on what is supposed to be -- and I know you want it.

It looks like the entrance is going to be down further and that this is simply a corner element and it's going to be used, But I think maybe what the -- and I'm trying to read through some of their comments, but I think they see this as a tenuous solution as it meets that corner; that there's a sense that it's hitting the ground, but it's not as expressive as it is across the street.

And I think it feels that the design then kind of like comes to an end rather abruptly and really doesn't express what you're trying to do with the Canal Park. So I think there's a feeling that the solution just is ending uncomfortably. And that there's no way to really -- it isn't defined enough as it meets the other side of the street.

And maybe they want to comment on

1	what I'm saying. But I just think that
2	there's a feeling that it's a design solution
3	that hasn't gone far enough to do what you
4	want it to do to be a gateway. And I think
5	it's not as expressive as what you've done on
6	the other side of the street. And I think
7	that there's just a tenuous aspect. I think
8	that, with some of the comments that I'm
9	reading from.
10	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: And if I
11	can, Commissioner Turnbull, I mean, there's
12	park. I mean this is not an infield design.
13	I mean, it's not going to sort of fit
14	comfortably into a series of buildings. It
15	does stand out and people will see this.
16	I mean, you know, you've got a
17	park. It's a wonderful amenity. And I just
18	that that elevation, or at least particularly
19	the ground floor should try to do perhaps a
20	little bit more, particularly at the corner.
21	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I just want
22	to clarify something. 1100 New Jersey was not

a PUD. Is that correct?

1 MR. QUINN: I think that's 2 correct. 3 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. So --QUINN: 4 But it does have a 5 pharmacy in it, however. 6 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Amona the 7 things I'm hearing, I think, is that using -on the one hand, I think there's some sense 8 9 that maybe the corner facing the park on 1100 New Jersey is a little more appealing to the 10 11 Commission, as opposed to what's being done 12 here. But the other aspect of it 13 14 which is what Commissioner Jeffries was 15 struggling with, I think, which is, to the 16 extent that you're pairing these two buildings, how is it that if you're pairing 17 18 something that's supposed to be part of a PUD 19 exhibit superior and is supposed to 20 architecture, but you're pairing it with a 21 building that was not part of a PUD, there 22 should be some kind of distinction there, and

that's not being -- that we're not seeing.

1	And so.
2	MR. QUINN: Could I just observe
3	something from a legal standpoint?
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Sure.
5	MR. QUINN: Namely, that a
6	superior building can be a superior building
7	matter of right, just as it can be a superior
8	building PUD, A lot depends on the
9	language is atypical in your regulations.
10	So that there are many buildings -
11	- I can give you one right now, 1900 K Street,
12	designed by Cesar Pelli.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes.
14	MR. QUINN: That building is, in
15	my view, lawyers can say these things without
16	fear of well, we always can be, you know,
17	criticized, but that's a that's a superior
18	building.
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right.
20	MR. QUINN: It's a matter of
21	right.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Right. And
23	I

1	MR. QUINN: But there are many
2	I'm just saying that the word superior can be
3	in many context. And here I think the concept
4	is under PUD the comparison to a typical that
5	someone could walk in and build and what would
6	normally be there. Not something that's
7	necessarily a superior building.
8	So I think that sometimes we get
9	lost in that comparison.
10	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I agree. And
11	it's also how we're left wanting, often. But
12	I think that the Commission has seen enough
13	buildings that it's I was trying to give a
14	specific example. But I think there's also
15	the sense that this general sense that we
16	haven't quite gotten to where we're being
17	convinced.
18	MR. QUINN: I think we're hearing
19	that loud and clear.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay? Okay.
21	Any other questions? Mr. Parsons?
22	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I wanted to
23	go to L1.2a, which is a planting plan, but

1 it's also something we received in color here 2 tonight on the table. And I'm having trouble 3 understanding what's happening on 2nd street. To use tonight's exhibit will help 4 me because the sidewalk is in blue. And then 5 there's an area between the sidewalk and the 6 7 building which is white. Have you all got 8 that? There we are. 9 Then Ι compare that with the perspective view which is on the board over to 10 11 your right, on the cover of the document, 12 which shows umbrellas along the street. 13 I'm trying to understand what is it that's 14 going on along the edge of the building. 15 a transformer We've qot 16 which is enormous along that frontage. 17 spilling out onto this space, and I'm 18 wondering is this a place where outside dining 19 will occur or what? 20 MR. McCLURE: Okay. My name is 21 Craig McClure. I'm with Parker Rodriguez, the 22 landscape architects for this project. 23 CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: You turned your mike off.

MR. McCLURE: Perhaps I'll put up the other drawings so that the colors are more realistic. What is happening on 2nd street is that you -- you have a bit of additional space in the public parking area. And, in that space, is where there's special paving. And, along that facade, there are doors in the building. And, with regard to the use in that space, I would have to ask Mike Hickok to speak to -- to what the potential future uses are for that particular space.

COMMISSIONER PARSONS: But did the artist take some artistic license? In the perspective, red umbrellas are shown along here. And it's hard to understand where these people are sitting.

I mean, is the intent that the area where the transformer evolved and along the facade of the building, there would be tables and chairs?

MR. HICKOK: If -- If -- there's certainly room for tables and chairs. Whether

1	the tenant the retail tenant that rents the
2	space has a use that's appropriate to tables
3	and chairs, we obviously don't know at this
4	time.
5	But the space is there. And if
6	they are if it were a restaurant, if it
7	were a cafe, if it were someplace where tables
8	and chairs would be appropriate, then I think
9	we could expect to see them.
10	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So then
11	what kind of a surface do you imagine this
12	transformer vault would have? I mean, is that
13	conducive to people sitting on it? It's
14	enormous.
15	MR. McCLURE: What you have here
16	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: They aren't
17	going to be sitting on tables on top of it?
18	MR. McCLURE: That's actually a
19	graded vault. The top two the northern
20	most portions of it and the one below, in the
21	sidewalk space, is paved in the pan of the
22	transformer vault cover.
23	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So that

1	it's not a vent that we're used to in the city
2	streets with air passing through a steel grid.
3	It's a paved surface? Is that what you mean?
4	MR. McCLURE: It is paved on the
5	on the southern most portion of it. It's a
6	it's a three portioned
7	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Yes.
8	MR. McCLURE: We're kind of
9	getting beyond my area of expertise with how
10	transformers work.
11	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: But does
12	the transformer have something to do with the
13	building, or is that a utility that exists in
14	the street that you've got to live with?
15	MR. HICKOK: Well it
16	transformer vaults are not easily relocated.
17	If the transformer it's the transformer
18	vault that I think it serves the building
19	
20	MR. McCLURE: Yes. It serves the
21	building.
22	MR. HICKOK: Okay. It does serve
23	it does serve the building. It's not part

1 of the larger public utility. But it's the 2 location that -- that, for this building for 3 a whole set of technical reasons, is the -- is 4 the chosen location. COMMISSIONER PARSONS: 5 So there's 6 an existing vault there now and you're adding 7 to that? MR. HICKOK: I'm unclear on that. 8 9 I don't know if there's an existing vault 10 there or not. 11 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Because of 12 all the places to put this, it just seems 13 wrong, doesn't it? I mean, to achieve an amenity here at the end of 2nd and M, across 14 15 from the park, it might not be a desirable 16 place to have people sitting at tables and 17 umbrellas. Oh. Here comes the expert. 18 MR. CAO: Hi. I'm Chuong Cao with 19 Hickok Cole. The -- the location of the 20 vaults are exactly where we coordinate and 21 that's actually where Pepco wanted it located. 22 So anyone's who worked with Pepco,

actually then you know it's --

1	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I have. I
2	have. I know what you mean.
3	MR. CAO: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So the
5	trick is to get a surface on the vault that
6	MR. CAO: What Craig is
7	describing, the southern part of the vault is
8	actually, they call it a transformer. And
9	that's going to be paved. But the areas north
10	and south are graded. So you have a bus stop
11	and then I'm sorry, bus vault, and then, on
12	top of that is a transformer vault. And that
13	should be covered too.
14	(Whereupon, a short break from
15	7:20 p.m. until 2:21 p.m.)
16	MR. CAO: My understanding is that
17	the north vault as well as the south vault can
18	be covered. But the bus vault needs to be
19	open.
20	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: I'm
21	confused. North vault and south vault?
22	MR. CAO: Right. You have three
23	three vaults. Yes. The top, the north and

1	the south are transformer vaults. And the
2	middle vault is the bus vault.
3	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So the
4	middle one could be
5	MR. CAO: The middle one, I
6	believe, needs to be open with the grate. And
7	the north and south needs to be covered, or
8	can be covered.
9	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So the
10	pavement you show on the south vault could
11	possibly be used for the north vault?
12	MR. CAO: Correct. Right.
13	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well, that
14	would be better, wouldn't it? They nodded.
15	MR. HICKOK: It would it would
16	be better. And it's something that we can
17	easily look at and and confirm that it can
18	be covered. And if it can be covered, I mean,
19	we'll it think we can do that.
20	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well, that
21	would be preferable. Certainly, if CVS is
22	here, we wouldn't care. But I hope this Canal
23	Park Cafe is the result. I mean, we all do,

1	right?
2	MR. HICKOK: We certainly do.
3	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: All right.
4	Thank you. And the excuse me, the stone
5	accent pavers that are out in the street, is
6	that something I assume this is public
7	space. The Department of Transportation is
8	okay with that?
9	MR. McCLURE: That is correct. It
10	is public space, and that special paving
11	occurs within the public parking zone, not
12	within the sidewalk zone.
13	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: So that
14	would need subsequent approvals from somebody
15	other than us?
16	MR. McCLURE: That is correct.
17	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Okay. So
18	you haven't got those. It's a desire here?
19	MR. McCLURE: Well go ahead.
20	MR. QUINN: Well, normally what we
21	do when we have a plan in front of you is
22	that's what gets submitted to Public Space
23	Committee.

1	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Sure.
2	MR. QUINN: And normally they
3	approve. I mean, not always, but
4	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: You know,
5	it's very unusual to see this kind of paving
6	pattern. You know, they usually we're
7	using London Pavers here and that's it. But
8	good luck.
9	MR. McCLURE: I would like to add
10	that that we have been working with DDOT
11	and the Anacostia Waterfront Corporation.
12	MR. QUINN: Those letters we filed
13	tonight.
14	MR. McCLURE: They they have
15	seen this design and we've made some
16	revisions, based on some early comments that
17	they had. And and I think that they're
18	very happy with what has been been done.
19	COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well
20	assuming that you've got a letter from Uva
21	here, Uva Brandeis. Okay. Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Commissioner
23	Jeffries?

1	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Just on
2	the same front, on page 8 of the Office of
3	Planning's report, it says that what was shown
4	November 17th, as it relates to paving was
5	somewhat plain. So what you submitted today
6	is a reflection of the change. Is that
7	correct?
8	MR. McCLURE: It's it's not a
9	reflection of, to my understanding, that
10	that particular comment. What this is a
11	reflection of is the Anacostia Waterfront
12	design guidelines and standards for this area,
13	which are very specific. And also, responding
14	to comments by the Anacostia Waterfront
15	individuals, and also DDOT.
16	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Okay. So
17	the Office of Planning's comments have not
18	been addressed? On page 8 of their 15-page
19	report.
20	MR. QUINN: I I think what we
21	have is we
22	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I mean,
23	that's all right. Whether you've addressed it

1 or not, I just --2 Well, we think we've MR. QUINN: 3 addressed it by going through AWC and DDOT, 4 which really have the ultimate 5 And therefore, you -- if -- if OP process. 6 wanted something else, we've responded to AWC 7 and DDOT. Okay. COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: 8 9 Fair enough. The other question I had, and this might be for Mr. Fenell, perhaps I don't 10 11 recall whether I asked the question about 12 retailers. So, have there been discussions 13 with certain retailers. Has anyone sort of 14 stepped up to the plate? 15 know there's the pharmacist 16 across the park. But again, given that this, 17 you know, Canal Park is such an amenity, it 18 would be interesting to know the types of 19 retailers we're talking about. 20 Certainly our MR. FENELL: Yes. 21 aspiration is to get a restaurant at the

corner of 2nd and M, fronting out onto the

That's what we'd love to see.

park.

22

23

We have

1	strong interest from a bank to go in. We have
2	strong interest from Subway and Five Guys who
3	happen to be downstairs in our existing
4	building.
5	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes.
6	Right.
7	MR. FENELL: Who now want more
8	space and would love to entertain taking
9	additional space in the building. But, quite
10	frankly, we we really haven't been out to
11	the market to test the market to see the type
12	of retailers that are ready. Except that we
13	know, in general, there is a buzz about retail
14	in this area and we're excited that we can
15	offer a building of this design that can meet
16	their needs.
17	MS. HIGHTOWER: Yes. So a bank?
18	There's a bank?
19	MR. FENELL: There was a bank that
20	came in second at 1100 New Jersey Avenue.
21	They're patiently waiting and would love to
22	hear about an opportunity to go into this

building.

1	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: I don't
2	think banks are part of the I don't think
3	they're part of this preferred retail uses.
4	We didn't have banks as part of that. Didn't
5	we have a list of
6	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I don't know.
7	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Yes.
8	Okay. Okay. Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. Anyone
10	else? Okay. Thank you, very much. Now we're
11	ready for the report by the Office of
12	Planning. Mr. Cochran?
13	MR. COCHRAN: Good evening, Madam
14	Chair and members of the Commission. This
15	application is consistent with the goals and
16	objectives for the area as outlined in the
17	Comprehensive Plan and in the previously
18	approved preliminary and consolidated PUD.
19	Additionally, the proposal
20	generally meets the goals and objectives of
21	the CG Overlay, their goals for retail space
22	to create an active and welcoming pedestrian
23	character along M Street. The revised design

also responds to the concerns expressed by the Zoning Commission and the Office of Planning at set down.

However, we've heard your concerns

tonight about the design of the ground floor in the corner and would be very pleased to work with the Applicant on design revisions prior to final approval of this.

Nevertheless, OP recommends that the Commission approve this application preliminarily tonight, contingent upon the provision of a countersigned LSDBE application before the final application, and whatever kinds of supplemental information you may wish on the ground floor and corner design.

Beyond this, OP would be pleased to stand on the record or, of you wish, we could highlight the concerns expressed at set down and how the Applicant has responded to them. And then, of course, we're ready to answer any other questions you might have.

CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I think the Commission will ask the questions that are

1 most meaningful to them, rather than have you 2 make a further report. And I appreciate the 3 offer, though. So questions from the 4 Commission for Mr. Cochran? Anyone have 5 questions? Anybody? 6 COMMISSIONER PARSONS: Well, let 7 me just ask Mr. Cochran, in your experience with Pepco, once they've made a decision or a 8 9 determination as to where they think 10 transformer vault is to occur, how much 11 mobility is there really? How much ability is 12 there to negotiate to move something like 13 that? 14 MR. I haven't had COCHRAN: 15 experience with Pepco on that in particular. 16 We did have some success in getting Pepco to 17 relocate some major transmission lines to a 18 sub-station in Eckington. However, 19 they'd made one change, they were not willing 20 to make additional changes. But that's a much bigger scale. I -- I don't know the answer to 21 22 this particular question.

COMMISSIONER PARSONS:

23

All right.

1	Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. If
3	there are no more questions for Mr. Cochran,
4	then I would just note as Mr. Quinn had noted
5	that we do have a report from DDOT as it
6	relates to the sidewalk design and a report
7	from the Anacostia Waterfront Corporation on
8	the record.
9	Is there anyone here from ANC 6D?
10	Okay. Anyone who'd like to testify in support
11	of the application? Anyone who'd like to
12	testify in opposition to the application?
13	Okay. Mr. Quinn?
14	MR. QUINN: I think I don't need
15	to say too much more. We believe that we meet
16	the test. We would like to point out first
17	that a bank is a preferred use.
18	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Oh, is it?
19	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: It is a
20	preferred use?
21	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: What were we
22	thinking?
23	MR. QUINN: Yes. At any rate

1	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: Not a
2	bank?
3	MR. QUINN: Okay. We would like
4	to seek your preliminary approval. We
5	understand precisely what you've said in terms
6	of the design in the corner. We will submit
7	plans. We've made a list of about four
8	different points that you've made tonight,
9	including looking and being more specific on
10	the Pepco vault. I think we need to do that
11	again and to talk about that. And we would
12	submit plans to you on that.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. I just
14	want to huddle up with my colleagues for a
15	minute to see if we're ready to move forward
16	tonight.
17	(Whereupon, off the record from
18	7:30 p.m. until 7:31 p.m.)
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: We think that
20	the issues that have been raised are fairly
21	serious. And we'd like to hold off on
22	preliminary action until we see the response
23	from the Applicant.

1	So I just want to review the
2	things that we'd like to see. In response to
3	Mr. Jeffries question, he wanted to see a
4	rendering of the 2nd Street side of the
5	building.
6	MR. QUINN: Without trees.
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Without
8	trees. Yes. And he wanted to have some
9	perspective drawings showing how the ground
10	floor along that same side relates to the
11	park.
12	MR. QUINN: And specifically, as I
13	recall, the corner treatment.
14	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Yes. Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER JEFFRIES: But go
16	down the street.
17	MR. QUINN: Right. Right. Go
18	north. Right. Yes. Right.
19	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I'll leave it
20	to you as to how you'll respond to the
21	comments that you heard about the issue of
22	superior architecture.
23	We'll have the clarification of

1	the First Source agreement, and then we'll, if
2	you could review the surfaces that can be
3	installed above the three different Pepco
4	vaults and how those would be installed, I
5	think that would be helpful.
6	Is there anything else? Anything
7	else? Anybody else? Last call. Okay. I
8	need a sense from you about timing. Since the
9	December meeting is Monday, we don't have to
10	worry about that.
11	MR. QUINN: We think we can submit
12	within two weeks.
13	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay.
14	MR. QUINN: And that would allow
15	us to be on the January agenda.
16	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. The
17	very full January agenda. Ms. Schellin,
18	what's two weeks?
19	MS. SCHELLIN: 3:00 December 21st.
20	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Okay. All
21	right. Well, thank you all for your
22	participation this evening. And we look
23	forward to your responses. And we're

1	adjourned.
2	MR. QUINN: May I just ask if
3	you'd like a proposed order?
4	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: I'm sorry?
5	MR. QUINN: Would you like a
6	proposed order?
7	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: Absolutely.
8	MR. QUINN: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON MITTEN: And I'm sure
10	the Office of the Attorney General would like
11	a proposed order as well. Thanks for the
12	offer. We're adjourned.
13	(Whereupon, the hearing was
14	adjourned at approximately 7:33 p.m.)